



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/019,548 | 05/16/2002 | Keith W. Van Meter | P99210USWO | 8694 |
| 22920 | 7590 04/08/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| GARVEY SN | MITH NEHRBASS & | & DOODY, LLC | WINAKUR, E | RIC FRANK |
| THREE LAKE | EWAY CENTER | | | |
| 3838 NORTH CAUSEWAY BLVD., SUITE 3290 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| METAIRIE. I | | , | 3736 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | ٥ |
|---|---|--|---|-------|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Office Astion Comment | | 10/019,548 | VAN METER ET AL. | · |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | The MAIL INC DATE of this control of | Eric F Winakur | 3736 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply | n appears on the cover sheet t | vith the correspondence address - | - |
| THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati o period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a control of the country. | a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | tion. |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u></u> . | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for al | • | • • | s is |
| | closed in accordance with the practice un | ider <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>24-26,28-32,40,41 and 43-50</u> is/4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>24-26,28-32,40,41 and 43-50</u> is/Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | thdrawn from consideration. Vare rejected. | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 16 May 2002 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of The oath or declaration is objected to by the | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objouse the drawing(s) be held in abeyonerection is required if the drawing | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 | • • |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a)(| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beee the attached detailed Office action for | ments have been received. ments have been received in e priority documents have bee sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information Paper | t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 or No(s)/Mail Date 6. | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/019,548

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 45 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim should depend from claim 26, not 264. For the purposes of examination it has been treated as such. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 24 26, 28 32, 40, 41, and 43 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With regard to claim 24, the relationship between elements (a) (e) is not clearly set forth; further, it is unclear what aspects of elements (a) (d) provide basis for the recitation of "to separate the cytochrome oxidase, water, and hemoglobin absorbance curves" as these curves are not defined in elements (a) (d) nor is there a claimed detail of elements (a) (d) that would necessarily (inherently) include such elements. With regard to claim 29, it is unclear if Applicant intends the recitation to relate to the software algorithm of element (e) or if it is meant to define an additional (and separate) element. With regard to claim 30, although the claim sets forth that it relates to "the spectrophotometer", which is element (d), the details of the claim appear to be more closely related to details of elements (a) (c); thus, as written the claim appears to cover the elements of (a) (c) and additionally these other elements; Applicant is requested to review the claim and

Application/Control Number: 10/019,548

Art Unit: 3736

amend the claim or confirm that the language is as they intended. With regard to claim 40, although the claim is directed to an apparatus, the recitation of the claim appears to merely relate to a monitoring step; thus, it is unclear what structure Applicant intends to claim. Claim 46 appears to include the same problem as claim 30.

4. Claims 26 and 43 - 50 provide for the use of the system of claim 24, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 26 and 43 - 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Applicant cites several optical measurement systems and methods. In addition, Anderson et al. teach spectral analysis of tissue chromophores where the chromophore has a first and second form (such as hemoglobin). Richardson et al. teach spectrophotometric monitoring using chemometrics. None of the prior art teaches or

Application/Control Number: 10/019,548

Art Unit: 3736

suggests spectral analysis that includes an algorithm to separate cytochrome oxidase,

Page 4

water, and hemoblobin absorbance curves from a measured spectrum.

6. Claims 24, 25, 28 - 32, and 41 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to

overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this

Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eric F Winakur whose telephone number is 703/308-

3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mary Beth Jones can be reached on 703/308-3400. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Efic F Winakur Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3736